	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Notice of Allowability	09/767,346	PLOUHAR ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Paul B. Prebilic	3738
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeal claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT ROOF THE Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED I or other appropriate comm IGHTS. This application is	n this application. If not included unlication will be mailed in due course. <b>THIS</b>
1. $igtimes$ This communication is responsive to $the communication file.$	ed February 20, 2004.	
2. ☑ The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>49-54</u> .		
3. $igotimes$ The drawings filed on 23 January 2001 are accepted by th	e Examiner.	
4. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority unal All b) Some* c) None of the:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * Certified copies not received:  Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONN THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.  5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subm INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give 6. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mue (a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftsper 1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date  (b) including changes required by the attached Examiner Paper No./Mail Date  Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in 7. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT	e been received. e been received in Application of this communication to file MENT of this application.  Initted. Note the attached Expers reason(s) why the oath wast be submitted. Son's Patent Drawing Reviews Amendment / Comment of the header according to 37 Cosit of BIOLOGICAL MA	on No ed in this national stage application from the le a reply complying with the requirements  (AMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF or declaration is deficient.  ew ( PTO-948) attached or in the Office action of the drawings in the front (not the back) of EFR 1.121(d).  TERIAL must be submitted. Note the
Attachment(s)  1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB. Paper No./Mail Date  4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	6. Interview Paper No 708), 7. Examiner	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Summary (PTO-413), o./Mail Date 's Amendment/Comment 's Statement of Reasons for Allowance

Application/Control Number: 09/767,346

Art Unit: 3738

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Upon review of the rejections of record, the Examiner came to appreciate Applicants' position. In particular, the Stone ('915), which is one of the closest prior art references, does replace create a bleeding bone bed, but replaces the removed tissue with substantially non-immunogenic articular cartilage heterograft instead of with a 4 to 200 layer submucosa tissue as claimed. On the other hand, Patel ('969) teaches 8 layer submucosa tissue constructs, but only teaches that they can be used to replace vascular tissue and connective tissue. Kurland ('458) teaches replacing articular cartilage, but replaces it with pericardial tissue instead of a 4 to 200 layer submucosa tissue construct as claimed. For these reasons, none of these references anticipate the claim language.

Furthermore, the Examiner came to the conclusion that it would not have been obvious to combine these references with each other or with any others of record because there is no clear teaching of replacing one tissue type for another. In addition, the prior art rarely makes multiplayer tissue constructs as presently claimed so one lacks motivation to make such constructs from single layer constructs.

For these reasons, the claims are considered patentable over the prior art of record.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Art Unit: 3738

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul Prebilic whose telephone number is (703) 308-2905. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott, can be reached on (703) 308-2111. The fax phone number for this Technology Center is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center 3700 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

Paul Prebilic

Primary Examiner

Page 3

Art Unit 3738